

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,607		03/15/2004	Takuya Tsukagoshi	890050.469	1809	
500	7590	05/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED IN	TELLE	CTUAL PROPERTY	BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS			
701 FIFTH	HAVE				O LOCK NUMBER	
SUITE 63	00			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE	SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			2872		
				DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/800,607	TSUKAGOSHI, TÄKUYA			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Leo Boutsikaris	2872			

	Leo Boutsikaris	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the contract of the contract	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ci	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
à) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	· ·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	w);		the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected:			• •
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· ·		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:		LEONIDAS BOU PRIMARY EXA	MINER /
		Leo Boutsikaris, Ph	I.U., J.U.

Primary Patent Examiner 7 5/25/2006

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the servo beam in Amble is not involved in recording the holographic data. The examiner respectfully notes that nowhere in the claims is it explicitly stated that the servo beam is used during the holographic recording process. It is only claimed that the servo beam is used for servo control to generate clock signals.